<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement on the following grounds.

First, Applicant requests that the Office examine together the claims of Groups VIII and IX

(claims 20-21 and claims 22-23, respectively), since the Action does not set forth any reasonable

basis that these claims cannot be examined together. The claims are not directed to compositions

of matter that are pure populations of drug sensitive and drug resistant cells, but rather to methods

of distinguishing between them. Applicant respectfully contends that searching and examining these

claims would not place an undue burden on the Office and ask that the claims of Groups VIII and

IX be rejoined and examined.

Second, Applicant traverses the election-of species requirement with regard to propidium

iodide, Annexin V and a fluorescent dye. None of claims 20-21 or 22-23 contain a limitation

relating to any of these species, and thus respectfully contend that these groups were included in the

election-of-species requirement in error. Applicant thus requests that this requirement for an

election be vacated before the claims are examined on the merits.

If the Examiner in charge of this application believes it to be helpful, he or she is invited to

contact the undersigned attorney by telephone at (312) 913-0001.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff

Date: March 6, 2006

Kevin E. Noonan, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 35,303

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 913-0001

\_ 10